

# MORE ON THE NORMALITY OF THE UNBOUNDED PRODUCT OF TWO NORMAL OPERATORS

MOHAMMED HICHEM MORTAD<sup>1\*</sup> AND KHALDIA MADANI<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two -non necessarily bounded- normal operators. We give new conditions making their product normal. We also generalize a result by Deutsch et al on normal products of matrices.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

First, we assume the reader is very familiar with notions, definitions and results on unbounded operators. All unbounded operators are assumed to be densely defined. Some general references are [1, 4, 8, 18, 19]. We just recall that an unbounded operator  $T$  is said to be normal if it is closed and  $TT^* = T^*T$ . We also note that between operators, the symbol " $\subset$ " stands for extensions, i.e.  $A \subset B$  means that  $Ax = Bx$  for all  $x \in D(A)$  and that  $D(A) \subset D(B)$ .

The question of when the product of two normal operators is normal is fundamental. For papers dealing with bounded normal products, see e.g. [7, 9, 17, 20, 21]. See also the recent paper [3] and the references therein for the bounded operators case. For the unbounded case, see [13, 15]. For closely related topics see [10, 11]. For those interested in sums of normal operators, see [12] and [16].

The following example illustrates that the passage from the bounded case to the unbounded one needs care.

**Example 1.** Let  $A$  be an unbounded normal operator having a trivial kernel, for example take  $Af(x) = (1 + x^2)f(x)$  on  $D(A) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : (1 + x^2)f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$ . Note that  $A$  is one-to-one but with properly dense range.

Now set  $B = A^{-1}$ . Observe that both  $A$  and  $B$  are normal on their respective domains (they are even self-adjoint and positive!). However  $BA$ , defined on  $D(BA) = D(A)$ , is not closed as  $BA \subset I$ . Thus it cannot be normal and yet  $B$  does commute with  $A$ .

For the reader's convenience, let us summarize, in a chronological order, all what has been obtained, to the authors best knowledge, as regards to the unbounded normal product of two operators:

**Theorem 1** ([13]).

- (1) Assume that  $B$  is a unitary operator. Let  $A$  be an unbounded normal operator. If  $B$  commutes with  $A$  (i.e.  $BA \subset AB$ ), then  $BA$  is normal.
- (2) Assume that  $A$  is a unitary operator. Let  $B$  be an unbounded normal operator. If  $A$  commutes with  $B$  (i.e.  $AB \subset BA$ ), then  $BA$  is normal.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A05; Secondary 47B25.

*Key words and phrases.* Unbounded operators. Normal operators. Operator products. Fuglede theorem.

\*Corresponding author.

Dropping the unitarity hypothesis the following three results (also in [13]) were obtained:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $B$  be a bounded normal operator. Let  $A$  be an unbounded normal operator. Assume that  $B$  commutes with  $A$ . If for some  $r > 0$ ,  $\|rBB^* - I\| < 1$ , then  $BA$  is normal if it is closed.*

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $B$  a bounded normal operator and let  $A$  be an unbounded normal operator which commutes with  $B$ . Assume that for some  $r > 0$ ,  $\|rBB^* - I\| < 1$ . Then  $AB$  is normal.*

**Remark.** Observe that the last two results generalize Theorem 1.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A$  be an unbounded normal operator and let  $B$  be a bounded normal operator commuting with  $A$ . If  $BB^*$  is strongly positive (in the sense given in [5]), then  $BA$  is normal.*

Very recently, in the context of generalizing Kaplansky's theorem (see [7]) one finds the following result. Of course, an assumption of unitarity on one of the operators is a strong one.

**Theorem 4** ([15]). *If  $A$  is unitary and  $B$  is an unbounded normal operator, then*

$$BA \text{ is normal} \iff AB \text{ is normal}.$$

In the present paper, we obtain new results by assuming that  $AB = BA$  in lieu of  $BA \subset AB$ , under the conditions  $A$  and  $B$  both normal where only one of them is bounded. Then we show that an anti-commuting relation also gives a similar result. Then we show that in Theorem 2, the closedness of  $BA$  is not needed. Then we generalize a result by Deutsch et al which appeared in [2] to unbounded operators. Finally, we establish the normality of the product  $AB$  where both operators are unbounded.

To prove most of the results, we will make use of the following well-known results.

**Lemma 1.** [8],[18] *If  $S$  is (unbounded) symmetric and  $T$  is self-adjoint, then*

$$T \subset S \implies T = S.$$

**Lemma 2.** [8],[18] *If  $T$  is closed, then  $T^*T$  and  $TT^*$  are both self-adjoint.*

**Corollary 1.** *If  $T$  is a closed operator such that  $TT^* \subset T^*T$ , then  $T$  is normal.*

**Lemma 3** ([6] or [19]). *If  $A$  and  $B$  are densely defined and  $A$  is invertible with inverse  $A^{-1}$  in  $B(H)$ , then  $(BA)^* = A^*B^*$ .*

It is known that if  $B$  is bounded and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are unbounded and normal, then

$$BA_1 \subset A_2B \implies BA_1^* \subset A_2^*B.$$

This is the well-known Fuglede-Putnam theorem. We can also derive the following version (also known but we include a proof for the reader's convenience) :

**Theorem 5.** *If  $B$  is bounded and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are unbounded and normal, then*

$$BA_1 = A_2B \implies BA_1^* = A_2^*B.$$

*Proof.* By the Fuglede-Putnam theorem we have

$$BA_1 = A_2B \implies BA_1 \subset A_2B \implies BA_1^* \subset A_2^*B.$$

Hence  $BA_1^* = A_2^*B$  for

$$D(A_2^*B) = D(A_2B) = D(BA_1) = D(A_1) = D(A_1^*) = D(BA_1^*).$$

□

A recently obtained generalization of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem is also valuable. It reads

**Theorem 6** (Fuglede-Putnam-Mortad). *Let  $A$  be a closed operator with domain  $D(A)$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two unbounded normal operators with domains  $D(N)$  and  $D(M)$  respectively. If  $D(N) \subset D(AN)$ , then*

$$AN \subset MA \implies AN^* \subset M^*A.$$

## 2. NEW RESULTS

Here is the first result of the paper

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normal operators. Assume that  $B$  is bounded. If  $BA = AB$ , then  $BA$  (and so  $AB$ ) is normal.*

*Proof.* Since  $BA = AB$ , by Theorem 5 we have  $BA^* = A^*B$ . Then we have

$$(BA)^*BA = A^*B^*BA = A^*B^*AB \underbrace{\subset}_{\text{classic Fuglede}} A^*AB^*B$$

and

$$BA(BA)^* = BAA^*B^* = ABA^*B^* = AA^*BB^* = A^*AB^*B.$$

Whence

$$(BA)^*BA \subset BA(BA)^*.$$

But  $BA$  is closed for it equals  $AB$  which is closed since  $A$  is closed and  $B$  is bounded. Therefore,  $BA(BA)^*$  and  $(BA)^*BA$  are both self-adjoint (by Lemma 2) and hence  $BA$  is normal (by Corollary 1), completing the proof. □

**Remark.** The assumption  $AB \subset BA$  cannot merely be dropped. By Example 1,

$$D(AB) = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \not\subset D(BA) = D(A) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : (1+x^2)f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

We also obtain an "anti-commuting version" of Theorem 7.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normal operators. Assume that  $B$  is bounded. If  $BA = -AB$ , then  $BA$  (and so  $AB$ ) is normal.*

*Proof.* The same idea of proof as that of the previous result applies. We have  $BA^* = -A^*B$  thanks to Theorem 5 because  $-A$  is also normal. Then

$$(BA)^*BA = A^*B^*BA = -A^*B^*AB \underbrace{\subset}_{\text{Fuglede}} A^*AB^*B$$

and

$$BA(BA)^* = BAA^*B^* = -ABA^*B^* = AA^*BB^* = A^*AB^*B.$$

The rest is obvious. □

Now, we improve Theorem 2 by removing the assumption that  $BA$  be closed.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $B$  be a bounded normal operator. Let  $A$  be an unbounded normal operator. Assume that  $B$  commutes with  $A$ . If for some  $r > 0$ ,  $\|rBB^* - I\| < 1$ , then  $BA$  is normal.*

*Proof.* The proof is the same as the one in [13]. What we are concerned with here is to show that the closedness of  $BA$  is tacitly assumed.

So let us show that  $BA$  is closed. Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $Bx_n \rightarrow y$ . The condition  $\|rBB^* - I\| < 1$ , plus the normality of  $B$ , guarantees that  $BB^* = B^*B$  is invertible. Hence, by the continuity of  $B^*$ ,  $B^*Bx_n \rightarrow B^*y$ . Therefore,

$$Ax_n \rightarrow (B^*B)^{-1}B^*y.$$

But  $A$  is closed, hence  $x \in D(A)$  and  $Ax = (B^*B)^{-1}B^*y$ . This implies that

$$B^*BAx = B^*y \text{ and hence } BB^*BAx = BB^*y$$

which, thanks to the invertibility of  $BB^*$ , clearly yields  $BAx = y$ , proving the closedness of  $BA$ .  $\square$

Next, we give an unbounded operator version of a result by Deutsch et al in [2] (cf. [20] and [21]) on normal products of matrices. We have

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $A$  be a bounded and invertible operator. Let  $B$  be unbounded and closed. Assume further that  $D(B) \subset D(BAB)$ . Then  $BA$  and  $AB$  are normal iff  $BAA^* = A^*AB$  and  $B^*BA \subset ABB^*$ .*

*Proof.* First, we note that we should not worry about the closedness of both  $BA$  and  $AB$  for the boundedness and the invertibility of  $A$  (and the closedness of  $B$ !) implies that  $BA$  and  $AB$  are closed respectively.

- (1) Assume that  $BAA^* = A^*AB$  and  $B^*BA \subset ABB^*$  and let us show that  $BA$  and  $AB$  are normal. Since  $A$  is invertible, Lemma 3 implies that  $(BA)^* = A^*B^*$ , and also

$$B^*BA \subset ABB^* \implies BB^*A^* \subset A^*B^*B,$$

where we also used Lemma 2 for  $B$ . Hence

$$(BA)^*BA = A^*B^*BA \supset BB^*A^*A.$$

So by using again the invertibility of  $A$  (and hence that of  $A^*A$ ) and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$(BB^*A^*A)^* = A^*ABB^* \subset ((BA)^*BA)^* = (BA)^*BA.$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$BA(BA)^* = BAA^*B^* = A^*ABB^*$$

which implies that

$$BA(BA)^* \subset (BA)^*BA.$$

Corollary 1 then makes the "inclusion" an exact equality, i.e. establishing the normality of  $BA$ .

Let us turn now to the product  $AB$ . This is more straightforward. We have

$$(AB)^*AB = B^*A^*AB = B^*BAA^*$$

and

$$AB(AB)^* = ABB^*A^* \supset B^*BAA^*.$$

Arguing similarly as before gives the normality of  $AB$ . This finishes the first part of the proof.

(2) Assume that  $BA$  and  $AB$  are both normal. Then

$$A(BA) = (AB)A \implies A(BA)^* = (AB)^*A \implies AA^*B^* = B^*A^*A$$

by Theorem 5 and the invertibility of  $A$ .

We also have

$$B(AB) = (BA)B \implies B(AB)^* \subset (BA)^*B$$

by Theorem 6 (since  $D(B) \subset D(BAB)$ ) and the boundedness of  $A$ . Hence

$$BB^*A^* \subset A^*B^*B \text{ or } B^*BA \subset ABB^*$$

and the proof is then complete.  $\square$

Consider next the following example:

**Example 2.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the two operators defined by

$$Af(x) = e^{ix}f(x) \text{ and } Bf(x) = e^{x^2-ix}f(x)$$

on their respective domains

$$D(A) = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } D(B) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : e^{x^2}f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Then  $A$  is unitary (so  $BAA^* = A^*AB$  is verified) and  $B$  is normal. Moreover, we can easily check that:

$$D(B^*BA) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : e^{2x^2}f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

and

$$D(ABB^*) = D(BB^*) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : e^{2x^2}f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

too. Since

$$B^*BAf(x) = ABB^*f(x), \forall f \in D(B^*BA) = D(ABB^*),$$

we have  $B^*BA = ABB^*$ . We also see that both  $AB$  and  $BA$  are normal on their equal domains

$$D(AB) = D(BA) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : e^{x^2}f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

since they are the multiplication operator by the function  $e^{x^2}$ . Nonetheless we have

$$D(BAB) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : e^{2x^2}f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

and so  $D(B) \subsetneq D(BAB)$  as, for instance,  $e^{-\frac{3}{2}x^2} \in D(B)$  but  $e^{-\frac{3}{2}x^2} \notin D(BAB)$ .

This example suggests that replacing "bounded and invertible" by "unitary" might allow us to drop the condition  $D(B) \subset D(BAB)$  there. This is in fact the case and we have

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $A$  be a unitary operator. Let  $B$  be unbounded and closed. Then  $BA$  and  $AB$  are normal iff  $B^*BA \subset ABB^*$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of sufficiency is as before. Note that with  $A$  assumed unitary, the first condition of Theorem 10 is automatically satisfied.

Let us suppose that  $BA$  and  $AB$  are both normal and let us check that  $B^*BA \subset ABB^*$ . In fact, since  $AB$  is normal, we have

$$(AB)^*AB = B^*A^*AB = B^*B = AB(AB)^* = ABB^*A^*.$$

Hence  $BB^*A^* = A^*B^*B$ . Accordingly by taking adjoints,

$$ABB^* = B^*BA,$$

establishing the result.  $\square$

We now turn to the case of two unbounded normal operators. We have

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $A$  be an unbounded invertible normal operator. Let  $B$  be an unbounded normal operator. If  $BA = AB$ ,  $A^*B \subset BA^*$  and  $B^*A \subset AB^*$ , then  $BA$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is invertible, by Lemma 3,  $(BA)^* = A^*B^*$ . Then

$$(BA)^*BA = A^*B^*BA = A^*B^*AB \subset A^*AB^*B$$

and

$$BA(BA)^* = BAA^*B^* = BA^*AB^* \supset A^*BAB^* = A^*ABB^*.$$

Therefore,

$$(BA)^*BA \subset BA(BA)^*.$$

Since  $BA = AB$ ,  $A$  is invertible and closed, and  $B$  is closed,  $BA$  is closed and Lemma 1 does the remaining job, i.e. gives us:

$$(BA)^*BA = BA(BA)^*,$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

The same method of proof yields

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $A$  be an unbounded invertible normal operator. Let  $B$  be an unbounded normal operator. If  $BA \subset AB$ ,  $A^*B \subset BA^*$  and  $B^*A \subset AB^*$ , then  $BA$  is normal whenever it is closed.*

Finally, adopting the same idea of the proof of Theorem 12 and using Theorem 6, we can impose some conditions on domains to derive a domains-dependent version of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unbounded invertible normal operators with domains  $D(A)$  and  $D(B)$  respectively. If  $BA = AB$  and  $D(A), D(B) \subset D(BA)$ , then  $BA$  (and  $AB$ ) is normal.*

*Proof.* Note first that the closedness of  $BA$  is clear. Now we have

$$BA \subset AB \implies BA^* \subset A^*B \implies B^*A \subset AB^*$$

by  $D(A) \subset D(BA)$ , Theorem 6 and the invertibility of  $A^*$ . Similarly, we have

$$AB \subset BA \implies AB^* \subset B^*A \implies A^*B \subset BA^*$$

by  $D(B) \subset D(AB)$ , Theorem 6 and the invertibility of  $B^*$ . So we came back to the setting of Theorem 12.  $\square$

### 3. CONCLUSION

New results for the normality of the unbounded product of two normal operator, have been obtained. A result by Deutsch et al for normal matrix products has been generalized to general and unbounded products.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. Conway, *A Course in functional analysis*, Springer, 1990 (2nd edition).
- [2] E. Deutsch, P. M. Gibson, H. Schneider, *The Fuglede-Putnam theorem and normal products of matrices. Collection of articles dedicated to Olga Taussky Todd*, Linear Algebra and Appl., **13/1-2** (1976), 53-58.
- [3] A. Gheondea, When are the products of normal operators normal? *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **52(100)/2** (2009) 129-150.
- [4] S. Goldberg, *Unbounded linear operators*, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- [5] K. Gustafson, *Positive (noncommuting) operator products and semi-groups*, Math. Z., **105** (1968) 160-172.
- [6] K. Gustafson, *On projections of selfadjoint operators*, Bull. Amer. Math Soc. **75** (1969), 739-741.
- [7] I. Kaplansky, *Products of normal operators*, Duke Math. J., **20/2** (1953) 257-260.
- [8] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 1980.
- [9] F. Kittaneh, On the normality of operator products, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **30/1-2** (1991) 1-4.
- [10] M. H. Mortad, An application of the Putnam-Fuglede theorem to normal products of self-adjoint operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **131/10**, (2003) 3135-3141.
- [11] M. H. Mortad, On some product of two unbounded self-adjoint operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **64/3**, (2009) 399-408.
- [12] M. H. Mortad, On the normality of the sum of two normal operators, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **6/1** (2012), 105-112. DOI: 10.1007/s11785-010-0072-7.
- [13] M. H. Mortad, On the closedness, the self-adjointness and the normality of the product of two unbounded operators, *Demonstratio Math.*, **45/1** (2012), 161-167.
- [14] M. H. Mortad, An all-unbounded-operator version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, (to appear). DOI: 10.1007/s11785-011-0133-6.
- [15] M. H. Mortad, *Products of Unbounded Normal Operators*, (submitted). arXiv:1202.6143v1.
- [16] M. H. Mortad, *The Sum of Two Unbounded Linear Operators: Closedness, Self-adjointness, Normality and Much More*, (submitted). arXiv:1203.2545v1.
- [17] A. Patel, P. B. Ramanujan, *On sum and product of normal operators*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **12/10** (1981), 1213-1218.
- [18] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1991 (2nd edition).
- [19] J. Weidmann, *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Springer, 1980.
- [20] N.A. Wiegmann, Normal products of matrices. *Duke Math J.*, **15**, (1948) 633-638.
- [21] N.A. Wiegmann, A note on infinite normal matrices. *Duke Math. J.*, **16** (1949) 535-538.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ORAN, B.P. 1524, EL MENOUAR, ORAN 31000. ALGERIA.

**Mailing address (for the first author):**

DR MOHAMMED HICHEM MORTAD  
BP 7085 ES-SEDDIKIA  
ORAN  
31013  
ALGERIA

*E-mail address:* mhmortad@gmail.com, mortad@univ-oran.dz.

<sup>2</sup>LABORATOIRE LTE, ENSET, EL MENOUAR B.P. 1523, ES-SENIA, ORAN 31000. ALGERIA.

*E-mail address:* madanikhaldia@gmail.com